THE DELHI SCHOOL OF URDU POETRY

must regretfully admit that he did not care what weapons he used.

To put it as briefly as possible, Sauda followed three methods in his satires. His greatest weapon is exaggeration. He magnifies the defects of his victims past all bounds—and things when exaggerated with point and wit become humorous, as in a caricature. In poems of this class, the touch with reality is never lost. With Sauda often an insignificant bacillus of truth grows and grows until it acquires portentous dimensions. His attitude here is humorous and playful, and his satire is successful; when he loses his temper or is at the mercy of his feelings, especially his religious feelings, he becomes abusive. In the third he rushes into the fanciful. He is now very ingenious, but not particularly amusing. These categories are not mutually exclusive. The first and the third moods run into each other generally. The second stands by itself and includes most of his failures.

q

Sauda's qasīdas mark the summit of achievement in that genre in Urdu; and in the opinion of competent critics they are quite on a par with the works of great Persian panegyrists both in sound, movement, and the profusion of utterly impossible conceits. They leave us cold today, but it is impossible not to be struck by their lofty carriage. The following from the nasīb of a qasīda celebrating the advent of spring are magnificent and contain some fine personifications of natural objects.

تیخ آردی نے کیا ملک خزال متاصل دیچوکر باغ جمال میں کرم عزوجل ڈال سے بات ملک بھول سے لیکر تا بھل آب بُوْ قطع مگی کرنے دوش پر مخمل کارِنقاشی آتی ہے دوم 'وہ اقر ل ماریہ نانے کو اشجار کے ہرشو با دل لوٹے ہے سرے یا زیس کہ پولیے ہے کل شاخ سے گاوِزیں کے ہو بھوٹے کوئیں شاخ سے گاوِزیں کے ہو بھوٹے کوئیں خطا گلزار کے صفحے پیط لائی جدول

اُٹھ گیا بہمن وقے کا بھنتاں سے کا سجدہ شکریں ہے شاخ تمرداد ہر ایک قوت نامیہ لیتی ہے نباتا ت کاعون واسط فلعت نوروز کے ہراغ کے بیچ بھتی ہے گل نورستہ کی دنگ کمیری مکس کے اگر میں پر ہے کہ جس کے آگر کا میری بارسے آپ روائی ہو می گل کے جوش روئیدگی فاک سے بچھ دورنہیں بروش روئیدگی فاک سے بچھ دورنہیں ہے ورنہیں ہے

مایر برگ ہے اس مطع ہراک گل پر ساغ تعلیں جوں کیجے زمرد کو حسل

Gone is the sway of December and January from the garden;
The sharp edge of the sword of April has overrun the land of autumn.
Every fruit-bearing tree has bowed itself down in grateful prostration,
Beholding how graciously God has showered bounties on the world,
From the branch to the leaves, and from the flower to the fruit,
The power of growth extends its range in the vegetable world.

For the robe of honour on the new year's day, The rivulet is busy cutting velvet on the grass.

The rainbow hues of the new-blown rose

Are dressing hills and dales in printed chintz.

The nightingale's bewitching shadow on the ground

Surpasses in beauty a masterpiece painted by Mani.

The clouds sailing here and there, string pearly hails on the threads of vernal showers

To bedeck with garlands long rows of trees,

The stream rolls on the verdure in extreme restlessness,

Weighed down with the shadow of the profusion of flowers.

No wonder if on account of the extraordinary fertility of the soil

The branch (horn) of the bull supporting the earth on its horns should produce a bud.

The rivulet round the garden, glittering in the light of the sun,

Draws a golden margin on the page of the garden.

The shadow of the leaves falls in such ways on every flower

That it presents the picture of an emerald dissolved in a diamond chalice.

10

Mīr (Muhammad Taqī Mīr) has left a full-length account of all but the last thirty years of his life in Zikr-e-Mīr. It does not give his date of birth, and it is only recently that the discovery of a manuscript copy of his poems, in the library of the Rāja of Mahmūdābād, has enabled us to establish conclusively that he was born in 1722 in Akbarābād³ and died at an advanced age in Lucknow on 20 September 1810. His father, Mīr 'Alī Muttaqī, a religious man with a considerable following, died when Mīr was still a boy, and he was brought up by Sayyid Amānullah, one of his father's intimate friends and disciples. Some three years after his death, Mīr left for Delhi at the age of seventeen or so. Here he was granted a stipend of one rupee a day by Amīr-ul-Umarā Samsām-ud-Daula; but on his death, during Nādir Shāh's invasion (1739), Mīr was forced to go back to Akbarābād to his family.

The treatment accorded to him by his relatives greatly disappointed him. 'Those who had treated him as the collyrium of their eyes', writes Mīr, now cold-shouldered him, and when he left for Delhi the following year, to stay with his foster-uncle, Khān-e-Ārzū, his foster-brother carried his enmity so far as to write to the latter 'never to countenance a mischief-monger like Mīr' 10. In his autobiography, Mīr brings out to the full the pathos of the situation, but is discreetly silent about the cause of this estrangement and hostility. It is believed that what precipitated his departure from Akbarābād and ranged his family against him was a clandestine love-affair with one of his relatives. Whatever the reason or reasons, Mīr suffered much during this period. Poverty, disappointment in love, and the indignities heaped on him all worked on his hypersensitive mind, and the result was a temporary madness.

Khān-e-Ārzū was far too generous to disown Mīr, but he is said to have bitterly rebuked him, and their relations were far from happy. In Āb-e-Hayāt, Āzād ascribes their growing estrangement to their religious views. Ārzū, he writes, was a Hanafite and Mīr a Shi'ite. But the real cause was probably the family scandal, although the bitterness may have been accentuated by their religious differences.

In the 'Introduction' to Kullīyāt-e-Mīr, M. 'Abdul Bārī has pointed out the disparity in the account of Khān-e-Ārzū as given by Mīr in Nikāt-ush-Shu'ara (1751) and Zikr-e-Mīr. In the former he lauds his uncle as a man and scholar, and is proud to call him his teacher. In the latter, written after Ārzū's death (1756), he rakes up the old grievances against him, disowns Khān-e-Ārzū as his teacher and writes of having 'read a few books with some persons in the city'. I agree with Āsī that Mīr's reticence in the earlier volume was dictated by considerations of mere prudence. He had much to fear Khān-e-Ārzū alive, for the latter could any time justify his severity to him by disclosing the real cause of their estrangement. He had nothing to fear from Khān-e-Ārzū dead. He, therefore, chose to expatiate on his good points and his indebtedness to him in the earlier volume, giving full vent to his grievances in the second.

1

Like other poets of the day, Mir's first choice was Persian, but he was persuaded to take up Urdu instead. His rise into prominence was rapid. After his estrangement from his uncle, he experienced a quick change of patrons either on account of the extreme political instability of the day, or his own egoism and vanity. His troubles culminated after the sack of

Delhi at Nādir Shāh's order. When he returned to Delhi after the defeat of the Mahrattas, he was profoundly grieved at the desolation of the city, and has given a poignant expression to it in some of his *ghazals*.

Mīr's life enters on its second phase with his invitation to Lucknow by Āsaf-ud-Daula. He was held in high esteem, and must have been a constant companion of the Nawab, as is proved by his several realistic masnavīs on the latter's marriage and his hunting expeditions. These poems show that Mīr was much more of a court poet than is generally conceded.

But Mīr was never quite happy in Lucknow, despite his complete freedom from financial worries and the high honour in which he was held. The reason was an excessive nostalgia for Delhi, engendered by his early memories. He despised Lucknow—the haven where at long last he had found peace, security, and honour. The real reason for his dissatisfaction lay more in his temperament than in his yearnings for home. Mīr was a man who could not be perfectly happy anywhere. Egotistical to a fault, he found it increasingly difficult to get on with his patrons. He imagined insults or slights where none were intended, and was rude and brusque. It must be said to the credit of his patrons that they treated him with uniform courtesy, and put up with his vagaries with good humour and forbearance. But much of this was lost on him. Once in a fit of sulks he went so far as to withdraw from Āsaf-ud-Daula's court, but was recalled and provided for by his successor.

19

As a man Mīr was antisocial and cynical. A confirmed egoist, he was incapable of seeing merit in others, and frequently resorted to a bluntness which lost him his friends and admirers and insenced his enemies. His irritability was not due to the fact that his talent had not been duly recognized, or that he had not been adequately rewarded. In Delhi and Lucknow he had actually lived in a blaze of reputation. Financially, he was far from secure in Delhi, and was throughout dogged by insecurity on the death or downfall of his patrons. Yet the fact remains that he was, for the most part, well looked after. He was proferred help by the King which he rejected on account of his vanity. He rated himself so high that all that was done for him in Lucknow seemed to him to be altogether inadequate to his extraordinary merit. Hence his sullen broodings, his pathetic complaints, and his boorish manners.

Mīr's vanity is not a figment of Āzād's fancy, as his admirers generally

131

think; it is an indubitable fact. Let us, for the time being, ignore the anecdotes related about him by Azad, and confine ourselves to the evidence provided by his works. Of the right to self-praise which Persian and Urdu poets have arrogated to themselves, there are very few who have made a more lavish use than Mīr. This self-complacency is only equalled by his attacks on others. He has pilloried poet after poet in Nikāt-ush-Shu'ara, and anyone who succeeds in getting a good word from him must be a fortunate man indeed. He is a judge with a black cap on, sentencing his victims to summary execution, with no possibility of reprieve. 13 In a fit of egregious vanity, he once compared himself to a dragon in Ajgar Nāmā and his contemporary poets to reptiles and vermin who are scorched to death by its poisonous breath. For once, this was more than they could bear. There was a furore when the poem was read out, and a rising poet bearded him with an impromptu composition, containing the line:

A HISTORY OF URDU LITERATURE

حيدر كرارف ده زور بختاب نثآر ايك دمين دوكرون الذورك كليجركر

O Nisār! Haidar, the Mighty, has endowed me with such powers that I can rend as under the jaws of the dragon.

Nor was Mīr unconscious of this weakness, for he refers to it himself in his poetry occasionally:

الجحاؤب زمين سيحكرواب أتمال اتنى بھى بەمزاجى ہرلمحب متيرتم كو

Fie upon you Mīr! that you should carry your bad manners so far As to be at loggerheads with the sky and the earth.

And:

مات توید کیجد کوغموں سے نہیں فراغ دل سوزش دونی سے جلتا ہے جو بچراغ سینتمام جاک ہے سالا جگرہے دماغ سینتمام جاک ہے سالا جاکت ہمار از بسکہ کم دماغی نے پایا ہے اشتہار

The fact is I am not free from worries for a single moment; My heart burns with inward sorrow like a lamp. My bosom is torn with pain and my heart seared with grief; In public assemblies I am known as Mīr the ill-tempered Such is the unsavoury reputation I have acquired for my short temper.

The chief quality of Mīr's mind is his realism. This quality is temperamental and marks him off from Sauda for whom the real is often merged in the exaggerated and the fanciful. The mirror he holds up to life is not a normal one; it is predisposed to reflect whatever is sad and distressing; but with this reservation, he is usually a true chronicler of his moods, feelings, and susceptibilities. Of course, he is not free from the false taste of his age, there being a great deal in his ghazals that is a concession to the reigning taste. Nevertheless, the best of him is a true picture of the various states of his mind.

As regards the emotional experiences which form the warp and woof of his mind, they are predominantly sad and pathetic. He is the best representative in Urdu poetry of the passivity and wistfulness we associate with the East-an attitude considered the fittest theme for lyrical poetry by some, and voted as morbid by others. Mir was frail, nervous, resigned, and reacted with extraordinary force to the accidents and vicissitudes of life. For him the course of life and love did not run smooth, and his love lyrics are an expression of the grief, disappointments, and pathetic yearnings consequent to it.

14

Mīr's gloom is not all personal; it also reflects time's sad decay. As such his poetry is an unusually sad man's commentary on his own defeated life and the decay and extinction of what was most dear to him in the life and associations of the Imperial City. Here are some of his reflections on the departed glory of Delhi and the reign of terror that followed it:

جس سرکوغردر آج ہے یا ت اچ در کا کل اس پیرین شور ہے پیر فور گری کا

The head that is proud of kingship today, Tomorrow there is a cry of lamentations about him.

آفاق کی منزل سے گیاکون سلات اسباب ساراہ میں یاں ہرسفری کا

No one has gone safe from the caravanserai of the world, Not a traveller but has been robbed of his belongings in this journey.

تك ورغريبال كى كرييركه دنسيايس الظلم دريدول يركيا كيانه مواموگا

THE DELHI SCHOOL OF URDU POETRY

133

Spare some time to pay a visit to the tombs of these luckless persons; Who knows what afflictions they might have been subjected to in their life.

نادان بال سوكونسي كالجني سمبوا

باكماء بزدوست طيتيرخاك مين

O Mīr, how many friends of thine have gone the way to dusty death, Fool! hath anyone ever grieved at another's death!

یانی کے بلیلے کی طرح سے مطاویا

اسموج خير دهريس مم كوقضاني آه

In this tempestuous world Fate breaks us like a bubble

ديجيونه خيتم كم سيمعمورة جهال كو بنتاب ايك گهريان سوصورتين مگراكم

Do not be misled by the prosperous look of the world; A house flourishes here after being ruined a hundred times.

يداكيه تضيرخ نيوفاك جيان كر

By one wanton act you have destroyed these august persons Whom the sky produced by long sifting the dust.

His Shaihr Ashob also sheds light on the deplorable contemporary scene and should be studied side by side with Sauda's poems on the subject.

15

Mīr's style is simple and bare even to nakedness. There are some minds that cannot contemplate a thing without trailing a cloud of images. Mīr shows little of this imaginative fertility. He is neither allusive nor subtle. Whatever the nature of his thoughts, he can be expected to be straightforward. This simplicity or limpidity, this contemplation of a thing without a host of associative images, is the chief feature of his mind and distinguishes him from a poet like Ghālib. He is remembered today for such lines as the following:

کہایں نے کتناہے گل کا ثبات کی نے پیمشن کر تبستم کیا

I inquired how long is the life course of a rose; The bud heard it and broke into a smile.

شام سے کھ بچھاسا رہتا ہے دل ہوا ہے جراغ مفلس کا

It feels cheerless and depressed with the coming of the evening; My heart is like a pauper's dimly-burning lamp.

دا غ فراق وحسرت قول آرز فسئے شوق میں ساتھ ڈر رخاک بھی ہنگامہ لے گیا ا

Pangs of separation, yearnings for union, intense passion, Behold; what a tumult I took with me into the grave!

یہ توہم کا کا رخانہ ہے یاں وہی ہے جو اعتبار کیا

This world is full of illusions: We behold here what we imagine.

دل مجھے اس کلی میں لے حاکم 💎 اور بھی خاک میں ملا لا یا

By luring me into the street of the beloved, My heart has made me even more miserable than before.

آگ تھا بتدائے عشق میں ہم اب ہوے فاک انتہا ہے یہ

In the earlier stages of love I was all afire, I am now all dust and ashes—such is the end of my love.

بوشكل نظراني تصويرنظب را يي

دلی کے مذتھے کو پینے اوراق مصوّر تھے

The streets of Delhi were not mere streets, they were like a painter's album; Every figure I saw there was a model of perfection.

میرے نغیر حال برمت جا اتفاقات ہیں زمانے کے

Do not wonder at the depth of my misery, Such are the ups and downs of life!

محتى بين فكريريشال كهال كهال ميري

ترے فراق میں جسے خیال مفلس کا

Like the vain musings of a pauper, how far and wide have my thoughts wandered in the loneliness of separation from you!

بُوكِكُل يا نواكِلبُل عَنى عمرافسوس كياسشتاب كَني

Was it the fragrance of a flower or the song of a nightingale? How quickly have the days of my life passed!

THE DELHI SCHOOL OF URDU POETRY

135

16

Mīr tried his hand at several other *genres* but with little success. His satires are personal and fully as vulgar and censorious as Sauda's. His erotic *masnavīs*, commonplace both in form and substance, are failures. Crudely tragic, they show a penchant for unhealthy themes and homosexual love.

Of a much higher order, and unique in their own way, are Dar Hajv-e-Khāna-e-Khud ('A Skit on my House'), Dar Hajv-e-Khāna-e-Khud kih ba Sabāb-e-Bārān Kharāb shuda būd ('A Skit on my House that had suffered badly during the Rainy Season') and Narsang Nāmā. They stand in a class apart in Urdu poetry and, as the taste for what is natural and really pathetic develops, they will come more and more into notice. They are all autobiographical, the first two describing the discomforts of his humble dwelling, and the third giving a vivid account of a sojourn in a dismal and bleak country in the company of a nobleman. Here, for once, Mīr is an amused spectator of his own mishaps and discomforts. In their blend of humour, pathos and realism they represent the high watermark of humour in the poetry of the classical period.

Since humour in Mīr has gone unnoticed, I give below two passages from Narsang Nāmā, the concluding part of the Skit on my House in the Rainy Season, and a few verses from Dar Bayān-e-murghbāzān ('About Cockfighters').

جوکہاان نے ہم گئے سب مان میں نے اظہار اینا حال کیا ذرکانی مری ہے ان کے ہاتھ صبح کا صبح کا شام کا شام ایٹس کے دیا میں نے اور بولی کہ واہ صاحب واہ ہم کچھ ان کے سبت یا دیں گئے ہوگھ ان کے سبت یا دیں گئے ہوگھ ان کے سبت یا دیں گئے ہوگھ کی سرح کے اب کے سبت یا دیں گئے ہوگھ کی سرح کے سبت یا دیں گئے دانت کے سبت کا دیا ہے کہ دیکھ کے کس طرح سے گذاری دانت

رہنا بھٹیاری کے غیمت جان گھ پکانے کا جب سوال ہوا یاں بولائے ہیں جھ کو اپنے ساتھ پہنچ ہے ان کے رد بردسے طعام جو کچھ آیا سو کھا لیا میں نے سن کے اک دل سے پنجی اُن نے آہ ہم نے جانا کہ آ دمی ہو بڑے ہم نے جانا کہ آ دمی ہو بڑے کھا دیں گے کچھ کھلاویں گے مسو تو نکلے ہو کو رہے بالم تم کھانے میں کی کچھ نہیں سے بات صدقے ہیں ایسے ہی اُمّارے کے سوگئے بخت گھر ہمارے کے میں کہا مہت رانی ہی بکھر لو مجھ سے آزردہ دل نہ اتنی ہو بعضے کھاتے ہیں کچھ کھاتے ہیں کچھ کھاتے ہیں کچھ کھاتے ہیں اُتے جاتے ہیں

It was nothing short of a godsend to find accommodation in an inn kept by a hostess, and I forthwith accepted all her conditions. When she asked what food she was to cook for me, I explained that my food was supplied by the nobleman I served. I get my food morning and evening from him. Whatever is sent I partake of, and what is left over I send back. Hearing this she heaved a deep sigh and said: I took you for a man of rank with these five or six persons standing around you. But you have turned out to be a penniless coxcomb; you are as poor as Emperor Shāh 'Ālam. You don't intend to eat or drink, and I don't know how I shall pass the night. How very unlucky to have lodgers like you! Alas, on what evil days my inn has fallen! I said: My dear lady, here's something to console you; and don't take against me so much. Some come to eat, others to feed others, and still others who are like me.

اس میں بنیوں کی تھیں دکائیں چار

اس کو بھی متھیوں نے تھا چاٹا

چھبڑوں ہیں خاک دھول ایک کنے

نافؤں کے کہتے تھے اسے بقال

ان نے ہم لوگوں سے بھی یاری کی

اُن نے ہم لوگوں سے بھی یاری کی

زردمٹی کو باندھ دیے جبلدی

نس ہم اس بستی میں میاں ہی ہے

بستم اس بستی میں میاں ہی ہے

دیوے نیا دہی بتا دھنیا

دیوے کاغذیں ہا تھ لمب کر

اور آگے گئے تو تھا بازار
ایک کے باسس دال کھے اٹا
ایک کے سافال اور تھوڑے پیخ
جوتھا باتی رہا سوتھ کنگال
ایک کخراے کے چارٹھی بیانہ
ایک دوکان تھی بساری کی
اس سے جاکر جو مانگئے ہلدی
دیکھ کر کچھ کہو تو وہ یہ ہے
یاں جو کچھ ہے چان سو دیتا ہوں
مانگو اس سے جو مرچ یا دھنیا
اس میں دو دانے اور سب کنگر
اس میں دو دانے اور سب کنگر
اونگ چورا نفر سے منگوایا

A little way off there was a bazar with four or five shops of banyas. One of them had some pulses and flour that was fly-blown. The other had nothing but dust in his baskets. Another had four or five bulbs of onions, and thought no end of himself on that account. There was another grocer and I made friends with him. If I asked him to give me some turmeric, he straightaway packed some vellow dust in a piece of paper and gave it to me. When I remonstrated with him, he retorted: 'This is no place for a man like you. I give what's in demand here; and mind you, I don't get these things gratis; I pay for them.' If I asked him to give me chillis or coriander seeds, the fine fellow would give me something that had two or three seeds, the rest all gravel. I sent my servant to bring some ground clover and what he brought was chillis.

A HISTORY OF URDU LITERATURE

جب اجائے یہ آئے چھٹ کھہری

When in return for the rent I paid for the house, I could have the roof only, we thought it advisable to leave the house, using the thatch as rafters. We deemed it preferable to quit the house, as it was better to be drowned than to be buried alive. The idea struck us as reasonable, and we decided to follow it. I, therefore, lifted the bundle of clothes, and my brother carried the charpai on his head. He who was carrying the bundle of clothes suffered from stiff shoulders. One was carrying a lamp, another a cup. One of us held the winnowing-fan over his head to protect himself from the rain, and another was floundering in the rain. Another covered his face with a sieve, and still another set a door-screen over his head. Another quickly took up the network for hanging food and hung the legs and sides of a bedstead round his neck. Another wrapped himself in a mat, and managed to hold whatever he could. Carrying our baggage and holding a clothes-line for fear of being drowned, we left the house in single file to reach some place to shelter. And as we filed out in this ludicrous trim, we looked like a band of gypsies on its way to a new encampment. And all those who saw us then smiled and tittered. At this we felt ashamed, and at last arrived at the house of a brother. Since then we have been in great trouble for want of residence; for we have not been able to get a house, even as small as a bubble, in which we could live comfortably for a breathingwhile in our own way.

جس کو دیکھو تو مرغ در اغوش سینکر وں ان سفیہوں کی باتیں ان نے کی نوک یہ کڑھ کنے لگے ساتھ اس کے برلتے ہیں سج و طیح ان کی صدر نگب بدریاتی ہے كتاب بس كما اب لوث

مرع بازوں کونے قیامت جوش مرغ رطتے ہیں ایک دو لاتیں سنه په آباجو تجھه وه تکينے

137

The cock-fighters are wild with excitement; And everyone of them is holding a cock in his arms. The cocks exchange one or two kicks, And a hundred ejaculations by these fools.

The cocks flap their wings and these twitch convulsively,
They hit with their beaks and these vociferate and shout.
If the cocks stand erect, these bend low,
And change their position and posture according as the cocks do.

The cocks once flap their wings,
And they make a hundred vulgar remarks.
One of them says: it has received a knock-down blow,
Another, that it will lick the dust.
They bend down and seem to parry the attacks;
One would think it is they and not the cocks that are receiving the kicks.
One of them is sucking the beak of a cock,
And another indulging in vulgar remarks.
They blurt out what's uppermost in their minds,
And look daggers at one another.

17

For the past three quarters of a century it has been customary among critics to approach Mīr with bated breath and on tiptoe. He gets nothing but praise, pressed down and brimming over. His historical position apart, it is doubtful if Mīr as a poetic genius deserves that unctuous flattery, wrongly called criticism. There are several methods of estimating that rarest of all qualities—genius. According to one standard, the greatness of a poet may be measured by the lines of supreme beauty in him. This is pre-eminently a sound test, for it is only a really great poet who can give you lines of outstanding beauty. Judged by this standard, Mīr does not fare badly. We hear of his seventy-two lancets or poignant lines, selected by his contemporaries. We do not know, today, which they are. But it is possible to select probably a larger number of lines which will be accepted even by fastidious critics as meriting distinction.

But these lines of supreme beauty are few and far between in his unusually voluminous works. We expect to meet in great poets not only supreme moments of poetry; we expect them to be fairly frequent too. At any rate, we expect all notable poets to keep to a certain standard, or, at least not to fall as low as the very lowest. An earlier critic who had more courage than we have, said: 'His high is very high and his low is very low. This dictum needs the necessary amendment that he is very rarely at his best.

The last of the famous quartette, Khvāja Mīr Dard was born in 1719, in Delhi, and died on 7 January 1785. Mysticism ran in the family; for he was descended on the father's side from Khvāja Bahā-ud-Dīn Naqshbandī, and on the mother's side from Hazrat Ghaus-e-Ā'zam. His father Khvāja Nāsir 'Andalīb, a poet and the writer of Nāla-e-'Andalīb (a voluminous work on mysticism and theology in Persian), had held a high position at court, but had retired from service to devote himself to a life of meditation. Dard studied theology with his father, and learnt the art of poetry from Khān-e-Ārzū. For some time he was in the army; but he gave it up to lead a life of retirement and study and, at thirty-nine, on his father's death, succeeded him as the head of the sanctuary.

The ziyārat in which he resided was outside the rampart, west of Pahār Ganj, later known as Baraf Khāna. During Nādir Shāh's invasion, he received an invitation from a member of the royal family to move into the city, but he stuck to his place. Later, he moved into the house especially constructed for his reception in Kūcha Chelan. Dard was well versed in music, and is said to have composed Khayāls, thumrīs, and dhurpads. This tradition is supported by the following line in his younger brother, Mīr Asar's Masnavī-e-Khāb-o-Khayāl:

مضرتِ وروکے بنائے خبال کیا کہوں کیا کریں ہیں ول کا حال

The beautiful <u>khayāls</u> composed by Dard—How can I tell you how profoundly moving they are!

and the following of his own verses:

بلندونسيت سب بموار مبل بني نگامون مين برابر سا زمين بوتاسي تُون سُرر راو ريم كا

The high and low are equal in my eyes,

Just as the high and low notes are equal in a musical instrument.

خنق میں ہیں پر مُداسخ سے سے ہیں ہیں ال کی گنتی سے باہر میں طرح رُو کی میں م

I am of the world, yet live apart from others,

Very much as the sam [final beat] in the measure called $R\bar{u}pak$ is outside the musical time.

Music being forbidden in Islam, Dard has appended the following apologia for his weakness:

I do not put music so high as do other mystics, nor do I rate it so low as the